Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY
EST. 1943

LA-UR-18-31878
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title: Estimation of Cellulose, Plastic, and Rubber Emplacement and Operational Materials in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

Author(s): Callicoat, Johnathon Wayne

Intended for: Report

Issued: 2018-12-21

Disclaimer:
Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by Triad National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S. Department of Energy under contract 89233218CNA000001. By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

NOTICE: The current controlled version of this document is on the LCODocs website (https://lcodocs.lanl.gov/). A printed copy of this document may not be the current version.

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY
CARLSBAD OPERATIONS

Estimation of Cellulose, Plastic, and Rubber Emplacement and Operational Materials in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

Standard Analysis Report
INV-SAR-51, Revision 0

Effective Date: 12-13-18

Originator:

John Callicoat, LANL-CO Inventory Team Member — Date: 12/11/18

Approval:

Bill McInroy, LANL-CO Inventory Team Lead — Date: 12/11/18

Laurie Smith, LANL-CO Quality Assurance Manager — Date: 12/11/2018

Ned Elkins, LANL-CO WIPP/RSO Program Director — Date: 12.11.18

INV-1812-05-01-01

History of Revision

Revision Number	Effective Date	Pages Affected	Description of Revision
0	12-13-18	All	Original release.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Introduction 5
2.0 Objective 5
3.0 Computer Hardware and Software Used for Analysis 5
4.0 Emplacement Materials 6
4.1 Assumptions 6
4.2 Data 7
4.2.1 Number of Containers by Type 7
4.2.2 Cellulose Component Weights 9
4.2.3 Plastic Component Weights 9
4.3 Calculations 9
4.3.1 Calculation of the Number of Emplacement Units, Stacks, and Supersacks 9
4.3.2 Calculation of Emplacement Materials 12
5.0 Operational Materials 14
5.1 Assumptions 14
5.2 Data 14
6.0 Results 15
7.0 References 15
Attachment 1: Container Count by Type from CID Pivot Table 16
Attachment 2: Emplacement Material Weights from WDS (Batchelder 2017) 18
Attachment 3: Emplacement Material Weights from WTS (Jackson 2004) 23
Attachment 4: Weight of Non-Containerized CPR Material from WDS 28

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CBFO	Carlsbad Field Office
CCO	Criticality Control Overpack
CH	contact-handled
CID	Comprehensive Inventory Database
CPR	cellulose, plastic, and rubber
CRA	Compliance Recertification Application
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
gal	gallon(s)
kg	kilogram(s)
LANL-CO	Los Alamos National Laboratory-Carlsbad Operations
MgO	magnesium oxide
POC	Pipe Overpack Containers
QA	quality assurance
RH	remote-handled
SAR	standard analysis report
SCA	Shielded Container Assembly
SLB2	Standard Large Box 2
SWB	Standard Waste Box
TDOP	Ten Drum Overpack
TRU	transuranic
WDS	Waste Data System
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

1.0 Introduction

This standard analysis report (SAR) provides the estimates for cellulose, plastic, and rubber (CPR) emplacement and operational materials in the WIPP with a data collection cut-off date of December 31, 2017. Emplacement materials are materials used for the emplacement of waste that are not included as a waste or container material. Emplacement materials include items such as slip sheets, reinforcing plates, plastic stretch wrap, and supersacks. Operational materials are materials used during operational activities in the repository and will remain in the repository at closure. Operational materials are not considered transuranic (TRU) waste but contain CPR that must be accounted for since it may affect the behavior of the disposal system. The data from this analysis will be included for use in the Performance Assessment Inventory Report developed for the DOE's deferred performance assessment for the CRA-2019.

2.0 Objective

This analysis uses container information reported in the CID, data version D.17.02.33 with a cutoff date of December 31, 2017 (LANL 2018) to calculate the total emplacement materials. The operational CPR material is obtained directly from a query request from the WIPP Waste Data System (WDS) manager (Standiford 2018). There is only cellulose and plastic used as emplacement materials and CPR associated with the operational materials in the WIPP.

This analysis includes the numbers of contact-handled (CH) TRU containers of emplaced waste at WIPP, stored, and projected waste at TRU waste site facilities that is scaled to a full repository. Low activity remote-handled (RH) TRU waste (Shielded Container Assembly (SCA) yield a surface dose rate of less than 200 millirem per hour at the surface) will be placed on the floor of the repository in the same configuration as other CH-TRU waste, but will count towards the volume of RH-TRU waste. The remaining RH-TRU waste emplacement process does not result in a contribution to the weights of CPR in the repository, and does not affect the CH-TRU waste stacking configurations. Therefore, the RH-TRU waste emplaced into the walls in horizontal boreholes of the repository is not included in this analysis.

This analysis is defined as a task as specified in INV-AP-01, *Analysis Plan for Transuranic Waste Inventory*. This analysis was conducted and reported under the Los Alamos National Laboratory-Carlsbad Operations (LANL-CO) Quality Assurance (QA) Program according to LCO-QP9-1, *Analyses*. The LANL-CO QA Program complies with the DOE Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) *Quality Assurance Program Document* (DOE 2017). The CID is a DOE CBFO database that contains quality level one data, and is qualified under the LANL-CO QA Program.

3.0 Computer Hardware and Software Used for Analysis

This analysis was conducted on the computer workstation, described below:

Hardware:	HP EliteDesk 800 G2 DM 65W Intel® Core™ i5-6500 CPU @ 3.20 GHz 16 GB RAM 930 GB HD PN1715012
Operating System:	Microsoft® Windows 7 Enterprise Service Pack 1 64-bit OS
Software:	Microsoft® Office Excel® 2010

4.0 Emplacement Materials

4.1 Assumptions

The waste container types used in this analysis are derived from WIPP-approved containers identified in the CID, data version D.17.02.33. These containers include the 55-gallon (gal) drum, 85-gal drum, 100-gal drum, Ten Drum Overpack (TDOP), Standard Waste Box (SWB), Standard Large Box 2 (SLB2), and SCA.

Pipe Overpack Containers (POC) and Criticality Control Overpacks (CCO) will be emplaced in the repository as 55-gal drums. It is also assumed that 80-gal drums and 83-gal drums are equivalent to 85-gal drums. The values used for the relevant calculations reflect these assumptions. The applicable waste emplacement units and configurations are: 55-gal, 7-packs; 85-gal, 4-packs; 100-gal, 3-packs (3-Pack_{100}); SWB; TDOP; and SLB2 for CH-TRU waste and SCA, 3-Packs (3-Pack_{SCA}) packaged with RH-TRU waste. Placement of all other RH-TRU waste in a rib of the repository does not require any emplacement materials. The RH-TRU waste in SCAs will be placed on the floor like CH-TRU and not in horizontal boreholes located in the walls of the repository. It is also important to note that there is no rubber contribution incidental to the CH- or RH-TRU waste emplacement process.

In the past, magnesium oxide (MgO) has been placed on top of every waste stack, but recent operations have placed MgO on every stack in every other row, and no MgO on the top of the SCA stacks. To maintain a conservative estimate for the calculation of CPR associated with the use of MgO supersacks, this analysis will assume an MgO supersack will be placed on every stack in every row with the exception of the SCA.

In emplacement operations, there are many different stacking configurations of the container types that are allowed. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that container types are always stacked in specific configurations to simplify the calculations of the emplacement materials. The following assumptions apply to each type of CH-TRU waste emplacement unit configuration listed:

- 7-packs, 4-packs, and 3-packs are emplaced with plastic stretch wrap around them, a plastic reinforcing plate on top, and a plastic slip sheet on the bottom
- 7-packs are comprised of 55-gal drums
- 7-packs are stacked 3 high to make a waste stack
- 7-packs are stacked on top of other 7-packs and TDOPs
- 4-packs are comprised of 85-gal drums
- 4-packs are stacked 3 high to make a waste stack
- 4-packs are stacked on top of other 4-packs
- 3-packs_{100} are comprised of 100-gal drums
- 3-packs_{100} are stacked 3 high to make a waste stack
- 3-packs_{100} are stacked on top of other 3-packs_{100}
- 3-packs_{SCA} are composed of shielded containers
- 3-packs_{SCA} are stacked 2 high to make a waste stack

- 3-packs$_{SCA}$ are stacked on top of other 3-packs$_{SCA}$
- SWBs are emplaced with a cardboard (cellulose) slip sheet on the bottom
- SWBs are stacked 3 high to make a waste stack
- SWBs are stacked on top of other SWBs and SLB2s
- TDOPs are emplaced with a cardboard slip sheet on the bottom
- TDOPs are emplaced with one 7-pack stacked on top to make a waste stack
- SLB2s are emplaced without a slip sheet
- SLB2s are emplaced with one SWB stacked on top to make a waste stack
- Each waste stack, regardless of specific configuration, has one supersack of MgO placed on top with the exception of SCA
- MgO supersack contains a cardboard stabilizer and is emplaced with a plastic slip sheet on the bottom

4.2 Data

Container information is obtained from the CID, data version D.17.02.33, with a cutoff date of December 31, 2017 (LANL 2018).

4.2.1 Number of Containers by Type

The CID has calculated scaling factors for CH-TRU and RH-TRU waste such that when applied to the projected waste volume, the scaled projected waste volume combined with the emplaced and stored waste volumes represent a full repository. The value used for the full repository capacity is 6.2 million cubic feet (175,564 cubic meters) of TRU waste which is the regulatory limit set forth in the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (U.S. Congress 1996). These same scaling factors were used to scale the projected portion of container counts. The container counts for stored, projected, emplaced and total container count were derived from the CID, data version D.17.02.33 (see Attachment 1). The scaled projected container counts were calculated in Attachment 1 by multiplying the CH-TRU scaling factor by the unscaled CH-TRU projected container count and the RH-TRU scaling factor by the unscaled RH-TRU projected container count. The scaling factors calculated by the CID and used for this analysis are as follows (rounded to two decimal places):

CH-TRU	1.58
RH-TRU	12.73

Table 1 shows the results of the calculations determined in Attachment 1 by container type and totals all like containers. Any non-whole values in Attachment 1 were rounded up to the next whole number. The total of all like containers in Table 1 was calculated by summing all like container categories, e.g., 55-gal, 85-gal, 100-gal, SCA, SLB2, SWB and TDOP.

Table 1. Total Container Count

Container Type	Emplaced Container Count	Stored Container Count	Scaled Projected Container Count	Total Container Count	Total Sum of Containers
100-gal Drum Dir Ld w/ Liner	0	52	0	52	42,414
100-gal Drum Dir Ld w/o Liner	34,290	8,072	0	42,362	
55-gal CCO w/ Liner	0	0	28,898	28,898	335,409
55-gal Drum Dir Ld w/ Liner	71,338	56,411	62,724	190,473	
55-gal Drum Dir Ld w/o Liner	19,598	6,348	61,625	87,571	
55-gal POC - 12" w/ Liner	25,980	336	323	26,639	
55-gal POC - 6" w/ Liner	0	103	860	963	
55-gal S100 POC - 6" w/ Liner	814	0	0	814	
55-gal S300 POC - 12" w/ Liner	51	0	0	51	
85-gal Drum w/ 1 - 55-gal Drum w/ Liner	5	0	0	5	5
SCA w/ 1 - 30 gal w/ Liner	0	13,682	17,351	31,033	31,942
SCA w/ 1 - 30 gal w/o Liner	9	187	713	909	
SLB2 Dir Ld	232	159	291	682	682
SWB Dir Ld w/ Liner	1	4,117	2,099	6,217	20,351
SWB Dir Ld w/o Liner	6,880	320	272	7,472	
SWB w/ 4 - 55-gal Drums w/ Liners	4,574	464	0	5,038	
SWB w/ 4 - 55-gal Drums w/o Liners	1,624	0	0	1,624	
TDOP Dir Ld	26	6	0	32	8,585
TDOP w/ 1 SWB w/o Liners	4	0	0	4	
TDOP w/ 10 - 55-gal Drums w/ Liners	5,865	2,343	0	8,208	
TDOP w/ 10 - 55-gal Drums w/o Liners	261	0	0	261	
TDOP w/ 6 - 85-gal Drums w/ Liners	3	0	0	3	
TDOP w/ 6 - 85-gal Drums w/o Liners	77	0	0	77	

Note: Information from CID Data Version D.17.02.33 with a Cutoff Date of December 31, 2017.

4.2.2 Cellulose Component Weights

Weights of components that contribute to the total amount of cellulose are listed below based on their relationship to a defined waste emplacement unit. These emplacement material values were reported from the WDS, which is the database of record, see Attachment 2 (Batchelder 2017).

- Each SWB unit cardboard slip sheet – 3.73 kilograms (kg)
- Each TDOP unit cardboard slip sheet – 3.73 kg
- Each supersack cardboard stabilizer – 3.45 kg

4.2.3 Plastic Component Weights

Weights of components that contribute to the total amount of plastic are listed below based on their relationship to a defined waste emplacement unit (Batchelder 2017). The weight of the supersack slip sheet, not included in the WDS document, came from the 2004 email from John Jackson to Bill McInroy, see Attachment 3 (Jackson 2004).

- Each 7-pack unit (top reinforcing plate, bottom slip sheet and stretch wrap) – 16.80 kg
- Each 4-pack unit (top reinforcing plate, bottom slip sheet and stretch wrap) – 14.80 kg
- Each 3-pack$_{SCA}$ unit (top reinforcing plate, bottom slip sheet and stretch wrap) – 14.10 kg
- Each 3-pack$_{100}$ unit (top reinforcing plate, bottom slip sheet and stretch wrap) – 14.55 kg
- Each woven polypropylene supersack – 3.45 kg
- Each supersack bottom slip sheet – 7.89 kg

4.3 Calculations

To calculate the weight of the emplacement materials, data were obtained from the CID, data version D.17.02.33. The following sections of this report describe the methods used to calculate the number of emplacement units, stacks, and supersacks in order to determine the total weight of the CPR emplacement materials.

4.3.1 Calculation of the Number of Emplacement Units, Stacks, and Supersacks

Using the assumptions listed in Section 4.1 and the information from Table 1, the number of waste stacks was calculated using Equations 1 through 11. From the number of waste stacks, the number of supersacks was calculated using Equation 12. Table 2 shows the results of equations 1 through 12.

4.3.1.1 Total 7-pack units and 7-pack stacks

The total number of 7-pack units is calculated by dividing the total number of 55-gal drums by seven since there are seven drums in each 7-pack unit as shown in Equation 1. To calculate the number of 7-pack stacks, first subtract the number of TDOP units from the total number of 7-pack units. This will ensure that the appropriate number of 7-pack units is available to stack on top of each TDOP

unit. Since 7-pack units are stacked three high, this number is divided by three as shown in Equation 2.

$$TU_{7\text{-pack}} = TD_{55} / 7 \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

$$TS_{7\text{-pack}} = (TU_{7\text{-pack}} - TU_{TDOP}) / 3 \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

Where

$TU_{7\text{-pack}}$ is the total number of 7-pack units,
TD_{55} is the total number of 55-gal drums,
$TS_{7\text{-pack}}$ is the total number of 7-pack stacks, and
TU_{TDOP} is the total number of TDOP units

4.3.1.2 Total 4-pack units and 4-pack stacks

The total number of 4-pack units is calculated by dividing the total number of all 85-gal drums by four since there are four drums in each 4-pack unit as shown in Equation 3. It is assumed for this analysis that the 4-pack units will be stacked three high, one on top of the other, to make a stack as shown in Equation 4.

$$TU_{4\text{-pack}} = TD_{85} / 4 \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}$$

$$TS_{4\text{-pack}} = TU_{4\text{-pack}} / 3 \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}$$

Where

$TU_{4\text{-pack}}$ is the total number of 4-pack units,
TD_{85} is the total number of 85-gal drums, and
$TS_{4\text{-pack}}$ is the total number of 4-pack stacks

4.3.1.3 Total 3-pack units and 3-pack stacks

The 3-pack units and 3-pack stacks include both the 100-gal drums and SCAs. This section will separate the two because 3-pack$_{100}$ stacks are stacked 3 high and 3-pack$_{SCA}$ stacks are stacked 2 high.

4.3.1.3.1 Total 3-pack$_{100}$ units and 3-pack$_{100}$ stacks

The total number of 3-pack$_{100}$ units is calculated by dividing the number of 100-gal drums by three since there are three drums in each 3-pack$_{100}$ unit as shown in Equation 5. It is assumed for this analysis that the 3-pack$_{100}$ units will be stacked three high on top of other 3-pack$_{100}$ units to make a waste stack as shown in Equation 6.

$$TU_{3-\text{pack}_{100}} = TD_{100} / 3 \quad \text{(Eq. 5)}$$

$$TS_{3-\text{pack}_{100}} = TU_{3-\text{pack}_{100}} / 3 \quad \text{(Eq. 6)}$$

Where

$TU_{3-\text{pack}_{100}}$ is the total number of 3-pack$_{100}$ units,
TD_{100} is the total number of 100-gal drums, and
$TS_{3-\text{pack}_{100}}$ is the total number of 3-pack$_{100}$ stacks

4.3.1.3.2 Total 3-pack$_{SCA}$ units and 3-pack$_{SCA}$ stacks

The total number of 3-pack$_{SCA}$ units is calculated by dividing the number of SCA by three since there are three drums in each 3-pack$_{SCA}$ unit as

shown in Equation 7. It is assumed for this analysis that the 3-pack$_{SCA}$ units will be stacked two high on top of other 3-pack$_{SCA}$ units to make a waste stack as shown in Equation 8.

$$TU_{3-pack_{SCA}} = T_{SCA} / 3 \quad \text{(Eq. 7)}$$

$$TS_{3-pack_{SCA}} = TU_{3-pack_{SCA}} / 2 \quad \text{(Eq. 8)}$$

Where

$TU_{3-pack_{SCA}}$ is the total number of 3-pack$_{SCA}$ units,
T_{SCA} is the total number of SCAs, and
$TS_{3-pack_{SCA}}$ is the total number of 3-pack$_{SCA}$ stacks

4.3.1.4 Total SWB units and SWB stacks

The SWB units are emplaced as individual units in stacks three high. The total number of SWB units is shown in Table 2. The total number of SWB stacks is calculated by subtracting the total number of all SLB2 units from the total number of all SWB units to ensure there will be an SWB unit to place on top of each SLB2. This number is then divided by three, since SWB units will be stacked three high as shown in Equation 9.

$$TS_{SWB} = (TU_{SWB} - TU_{SLB2}) / 3 \quad \text{(Eq. 9)}$$

Where

TS_{SWB} is the total number of SWB stacks,
TU_{SWB} is the total number of SWB units, and
TU_{SLB2} is the total number of SLB2 units

4.3.1.5 Total TDOP units and TDOP stacks

The TDOP units are emplaced as individual units with a 7-pack placed on top to form a waste stack, as described in Section 4.1. The total number of TDOP units is shown in Table 2. The 7-pack units were mathematically set aside in Equation 2 to ensure there would be one 7-pack unit for each TDOP stack. The number of TDOP stacks is equal to the number of TDOP units as shown in Equation 10.

Total Number of TDOP stacks = Total Number of all TDOP units (Eq. 10)

4.3.1.6 Total SLB2 units and SLB2 stacks

The SLB2 units are emplaced as individual units with a SWB placed on top to form a waste stack, as described in Section 4.1. The total number of SLB2 units is shown in Table 2. The SWB units were mathematically set aside in Equation 9 to ensure there would be one SWB unit for each SLB2 stack. The number of SLB2 stacks is equal to the number of SLB2 units as shown in Equation 11.

Total Number of SLB2 stacks = Total Number of all SLB2 units (Eq. 11)

4.3.1.7 Total number of Supersacks

The total number of supersack emplacement units is the sum of the number of waste stacks minus the number of 3-pack$_{SCA}$ stacks as shown in Equation 12. The sum of stacks for each container type is listed in Table 2.

$$TU_{Supersack} = T_{WS} - TS_{3-pack_{SCA}} \quad \text{(Eq. 12)}$$

Where

$TU_{Supersack}$ is the total number of Supersack emplacement units,
T_{WS} is the total number of all waste stacks, and
$TS_{3-pack_{SCA}}$ is the total number of 3-pack$_{SCA}$ stacks

Table 2. Total Number of Containers, Emplacement Units, Stacks and Supersacks

Container Type	Total Sum of Containers	Type of Emplacement Unit	Number of Emplacement Units	Number of Stacks
100-gal Drum	42,414	3-pack$_{100}$	14,138	4,713
55-gal Drum	335,409	7-pack	47,916	13,111
85-gal Drum	5	4-pack	2	1
SCA	31,942	3-pack$_{SCA}$	10,648	5,324
SLB2	682	SLB2	682	682
SWB	20,351	SWB	20,351	6,557
TDOP	8,585	TDOP	8,585	8,585
			Supersacks	33,649

Note: Values represent the total count of units and stacks. Any non-whole number has been rounded up to the next whole number.

4.3.2 Calculation of Emplacement Materials

The following sections of this report discuss in detail the calculations needed to produce the cellulose and plastic contribution for each emplacement unit as well as the total weight of the cellulose and plastic contributions of emplacement materials.

4.3.2.1 Cellulose

The weight of cellulose components used in the assembly of an emplacement unit is multiplied by the number of emplacement units as shown in Equation 13 to arrive at the total weight of cellulose contribution for these units.

For cellulose materials:

$$C_{Cellulose} = W_{Cellulose} \times N_{Units} \quad \text{(Eq. 13)}$$

Where

$C_{Cellulose}$ is the cellulose contribution for emplacement unit,
$W_{Cellulose}$ is the cellulose component weight, and
N_{Units} is the number of emplacement units

For example, the weight of cellulose contributed by the total number of SWB emplacement units is:

$$\text{Cellulose Contribution for an SWB Unit} = \text{SWB Unit Cellulose Component Weight} \times \text{Number of SWB Units}$$

All emplacement units, including supersacks that have a cellulose component weight are calculated using Equation 13. The cellulose contribution for each emplacement unit is shown in Table 3.

4.3.2.2 Plastic

The weight of plastic components used in the assembly of an emplacement unit is multiplied by the number of emplacement units as shown in Equation 14 to arrive at the total weight of plastic for these units.

$$C_{Plastic} = W_{Plastic} \times N_{Units} \quad \text{(Eq. 14)}$$

Where

$C_{Plastic}$ is the plastic contribution for emplacement unit,
$W_{Plastic}$ is the plastic component weight, and
N_{Units} is the number of emplacement units

For example, the weight of plastic contributed by the total number of 7-pack units is:

$$\text{Plastic Contribution for a 7-pack} = \text{7-pack Unit Plastic Component Weight} \times \text{Number of 7-pack Units}$$

All emplacement units including supersacks that have a plastic component weight are calculated using Equation 14. The plastic contribution for each emplacement unit is shown in Table 3.

4.3.2.3 Cellulose and Plastic

The total cellulose and the plastic contributions from waste emplacement units were calculated by summing their respective contributions associated with each type of waste emplacement unit, as shown in Equation 15 and 16.

$$T_{Cellulose} = \sum C_{Cellulose} \quad \text{(Eq. 15)}$$

$$T_{Plastic} = \sum C_{Plastic} \quad \text{(Eq. 16)}$$

Where

$T_{Cellulose}$ is the total cellulose contribution from waste emplacement units,
$\sum C_{Cellulose}$ is the sum of all cellulose contribution associated with each type of waste emplacement unit,
$T_{Plastic}$ is the total plastic contribution from waste emplacement units, and
$\sum C_{Plastic}$ is the sum of all plastic contribution associated with each type of waste emplacement unit

Table 3. Cellulose and Plastic Contributions for Emplacement Materials

Type of Emplacement Unit	Number of Emplacement Units	Cellulose Component Weight (kg) [1]	Plastics Component Weight (kg) [1]	Cellulose Contribution (kg) [1]	Plastics Contribution (kg) [1]
3-pack_{100}	14,138	0.00	14.55	0.00	205,707.90
7-pack	47,916	0.00	16.80	0.00	804,988.80
4-pack	2	0.00	14.80	0.00	29.60
3-pack_{SCA}	10,648	0.00	14.10	0.00	150,136.80
SLB2	682	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SWB	20,351	3.73	0.00	75,909.23	0.00
TDOP	8,585	3.73	0.00	32,022.05	0.00
Supersacks	33,649	3.45	11.34	116,089.05	381,579.66
Total Weight of Emplacement Materials				**224,020.33**	**1,542,442.76**

[1] Values are displayed to 2 decimal places.

5.0 Operational Materials

5.1 Assumptions

The following assumptions apply to operational materials:

- Operational materials are used during the operational phase of WIPP and will remain in the repository at closure.
- Operational materials are not considered TRU waste but contain CPR that may affect the behavior of the disposal system.

5.2 Data

Information on emplaced CPR material is compiled in Attachment 4 with data which are from a query request from the WIPP WDS (Standiford 2018). Operational material is provided in Attachment 4 and is summarized in Table 4 below.

Table 4. Cellulose, Plastic, and Rubber Contributions for Operational Materials

Material Type	Cellulose Contribution (kg)	Plastic Contribution (kg)	Rubber Contribution (kg)	Total Weight of Cellulose, Plastics, and Rubber (kg)
Absorbent Boom (WI-PINKPIG)	45	315	0	**360**
Equipment (WI-EQUIP1)	0	634	2,287	**2,921**
Equipment (WI-PANEL9-CPR)	0	3,940	2,499	**6,439**
Total Weight of Operational Materials	**45**	**4,889**	**4,786**	**9,720**

6.0 Results

It is important to note once again that there are currently no rubber emplacement materials and the placement of RH-TRU waste in a horizontal borehole in the wall of the repository does not require any emplacement materials.

Table 5 shows the total weight of cellulose, plastic, and rubber for operational and emplacement materials.

Table 5. Total Weight of Emplacement and Operational Materials

Type of Material	Cellulose Contribution (kg)	Plastic Contribution (kg)	Rubber Contribution (kg)
Emplacement Material	224,020.33	1,542,442.76	0.00
Operational Material	45.00	4,889.00	4,786.00
Total Weight of Emplacement and Operational Materials	**224,065.33**	**1,547,331.76**	**4,786.00**

Note: All values are displayed to 2 decimal places.

7.0 References

Batchelder, T. 2017. Email to Bill McInroy (Subject: Emplacement material weights.) May 31, 2017. Carlsbad, NM: Nuclear Waste Partnership, LLC, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

Jackson, J. 2004. Email to Bill McInroy (Subject: WTS Emplacement Material Weights.) May 5, 2004. WIPP:1.4.1.2: PA: QA-L:533999. Carlsbad, NM: Washington TRU Solutions, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). 2018. Comprehensive Inventory Database, version 2.03, Schema Version S.2.03, Data Version D.17.02.33. (Data Cutoff Date: December 31, 2017). INV-1809-02-01-01. Carlsbad, NM: Los Alamos National Laboratory, Carlsbad Operations.

Standiford, D. 2018. Email to Johnathon Callicoat (Subject: RE: WDS Data Request [1 Attachment].) September 27, 2018. Carlsbad, NM: Nuclear Waste Partnership, LLC, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

U.S. Congress. 1996. Public Law 102-579. Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act of 1992, as amended by Public Law 104-201, 1996.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 2017. Quality Assurance Program Document, DOE/CBFO-94-1012, Revision 13. Carlsbad, NM: U.S. Department of Energy Carlsbad Field Office.

Attachment 1: Container Count by Type from CID Pivot Table

Data derived from the Comprehensive Inventory Database (CID)	
Data Version	D.17.02.33
Inventory Date	12/31/2017
Query Date	09/05/2018
Status	(All)
Cntr Form	(All)
Empl Location	Floor

Handling	Scaling Factor
CH	1.5792576255969
RH	12.7299962762453

		Data			Calculations performed independent of the CID			
Container Type	Handling	Sum of Empl Ct	Sum of Stor Ct	Sum of Proj Ct	Sum of Proj Ct	× Scaling Factor	=	Scaled Proj Ct
100-gal Drum Dir Ld w/ Liner	CH	0	52	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
100-gal Drum Dir Ld w/o Liner	CH	34,290	8,072	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
55-gal CCO w/ Liner	CH	0	0	18,298	18298	× 1.5792576255969	=	28,897.256033171500
55-gal Drum Dir Ld w/ Liner	CH	71,338	56,411	39,717	39717	× 1.5792576255969	=	62,723.375115830900
55-gal Drum Dir Ld w/o Liner	CH	19,598	6,348	39,021	39021	× 1.5792576255969	=	61,624.211808415500
55-gal POC - 12" w/ Liner	CH	25,980	336	204	204	× 1.5792576255969	=	322.168555621761
55-gal POC - 6" w/ Liner	CH	0	103	544	544	× 1.5792576255969	=	859.116148324697
55-gal S100 POC - 6" w/ Liner	CH	814	0	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
55-gal S300 POC - 12" w/ Liner	CH	51	0	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
85-gal Drum w/ 1 - 55-gal Drum w/ Liner	CH	5	0	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
RH Lead Shielded Cntr w/ 1 - 30 gal w/ Liner	RH	0	13,682	1,363	1363	× 12.7299962762453	=	17350.98492
RH Lead Shielded Cntr w/ 1 - 30 gal w/o Liner	RH	9	187	56	56	× 12.7299962762453	=	712.8797915
SLB2 Dir Ld	CH	232	159	184	184	× 1.5792576255969	=	290.583403109824
SWB Dir Ld w/ Liner	CH	1	4,117	1,329	1329	× 1.5792576255969	=	2,098.833384418240
SWB Dir Ld w/o Liner	CH	6,880	320	172	172	× 1.5792576255969	=	271.632311602662
SWB w/ 4 - 55-gal Drums w/ Liners	CH	4,574	464	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
SWB w/ 4 - 55-gal Drums w/o Liners	CH	1,624	0	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
TDOP Dir Ld	CH	26	6	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
TDOP w/ 1 SWB w/o Liners	CH	4	0	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
TDOP w/ 10 - 55-gal Drums w/ Liners	CH	5,865	2,343	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
TDOP w/ 10 - 55-gal Drums w/o Liners	CH	261	0	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
TDOP w/ 6 - 85-gal Drums w/ Liners	CH	3	0	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0
TDOP w/ 6 - 85-gal Drums w/o Liners	CH	77	0	0	0	× 1.5792576255969	=	0

Attachment 2: Emplacement Material Weights from WDS (Batchelder 2017)

Mcinroy, Bill

From: Batchelder, Terry - NWP <Terry.Batchelder@wipp.ws>
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Mcinroy, Bill
Subject: RE: Emplacement material weights

Good afternoon Bill,

A SLB2 is emplaced into the waste array on the floor with a 13 Ton diesel forklift. There is no slip-sheet associated with this container.

If you have any questions please call.

Terry Batchelder MSM
Government Contractor
Sr. Support Waste Handling Engineer
Subcontract Technical Representive
(575) 234-8479 (desk)
(575) 234-8850 pager 155

From: Mcinroy, Bill [mailto:wmcinroy@lanl.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 2:15 PM
To: Batchelder, Terry - NWP
Subject: FW: Emplacement material weights

Terry, I work for LANL-Co and we are trying to find out if the SLB2 uses any type of slip sheet when being emplaced. According to the WDS there are no emplacement material associated with the SLB2 as shown in the table below but we want to confirm that with someone in operations.

Thank you,

Bill McInroy
Inventory Team Lead
LANL-CO, Contractor to the
United States Department of Energy
575-628-0436 (work)
575-706-0948 (cell)

From: Offner, Steve - NWP [mailto:Steve.Offner@wipp.ws]
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 12:28 PM
To: Mcinroy, Bill
Subject: RE: Emplacement material weights

Hi Bill,

There have been NO changes in the WDS to the values I provided below; however, that doesn't really prove anything. For a definitive answer, I'd suggest checking with someone who has actually seen an SLB2 emplaced. Terry Batchelder of Waste Operations (234-8479) is usually my go-to guy for questions like this.

Steve Offner
Government Contractor
WWIS/WDS Database Administrator
offners@wipp.ws
575-234-7468 (desk)
575-234-7056 (fax)
575-200-5326 (cell)

From: Mcinroy, Bill [mailto:wmcinroy@lanl.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 12:06 PM
To: Offner, Steve - NWP
Subject: RE: Emplacement material weights

Steve we are working on our emplacement material analysis that we do every few years and during the technical review a question was asked about the SLB. The technical reviewer wanted to know what documentation we had that SLBs don't use a slip sheet. We responded that based on the table that you provided us from the WDS on waste emplacement materials the SBL wasn't listed as having any emplacement materials. We have used this assumption in the last few analysis so I want to just confirm that our assumption is correct.

Thank you,

Bill McInroy
Inventory Team Lead
LANL-CO, Contractor to the
United States Department of Energy
575-628-0436 (work)
575-706-0948 (cell)

From: Offner, Steve - NWP [mailto:Steve.Offner@wipp.ws]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 2:43 PM
To: McInroy, Bill
Cc: Casey, Steve - FedNet
Subject: RE: Emplacement material weights

Does this provide what you need?

Waste Emplacement Materials (per Assembly):

Assembly Type	Cntr Count	Cellulosics (kg)	Plastics (kg)
55G Drum	7		16.80
85G Drum	4		14.80
100G Drum	3		14.55
SCA	3		14.10
SWB	1	3.73	
TDOP	1	3.73	
25-lb MgO Sack	1		.45
3000-lb MgO Sack	1	3.45	3.45
4100-lb MgO Sack	1	3.45	3.45
4200-lb MgO Sack	1	3.45	3.45

Note: The above values were initially introduced in WWIS version 5.1 in March 2005. (I'm sure they're correct, because according to the WWIS 5.1 Requirements Document, they were verified by "Senior Engineer Curtis Chester of the Integrated Waste Operations group.")

Let me know if you have any questions or need anything else.

Steve Offner
Government Contractor
WWIS/WDS Database Administrator
offners@wipp.ws
575-234-7468 (desk)
575-234-7026 (fax)
575-200-5326 (cell)

From: Steve Casey [mailto:steve.casey@cbfo.doe.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 2:12 PM
To: Offner, Steve - NWP
Cc: McInroy, Bill
Subject: RE: Emplacement material weights

Steve,

Can you please send Bill the reference values for the assigned parameter weights?

From: McInroy, Bill [mailto:wmcinroy@lanl.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 1:38 PM
To: Steve Casey; Steve Casey - WIPPNet
Cc: 'Offner, Steve - NWP' (Steve.Offner@wipp.ws)
Subject: RE: Emplacement material weights

Your assumption is correct. I would like the assigned value weights for the emplacement materials for the different packaging configuration for both plastic and cellulose. For example the 7-pack in our analysis has the plastic emplacement material which includes slip sheets, top reinforcing plate and stretch wrap at 16.783 kg. I just need a total mass of plastic and cellulose for the different packaging configuration and the supersack. Please let me know if I only confused the request even more.

Thank you,

Bill McInroy
Inventory Team Lead
LANL-CO, Contractor to the
United States Department of Energy
575-628-0436 (work)
575-706-0948 (cell)

From: Steve Casey [mailto:steve.casey@cbfo.doe.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 12:07 PM
To: McInroy, Bill; Steve Casey - WIPPNet
Cc: 'Offner, Steve - NWP' (Steve.Offner@wipp.ws)
Subject: RE: Emplacement material weights

Bill

Can you help clarify? The message below is not clear on what you're seeking.

My interpretation is that you want to see the "assigned value weights" for added materials. But, you may be seeking total values from all sources (assigned and weighed).

From: McInroy, Bill [mailto:wmcinroy@lanl.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 10:56 AM
To: Steve Casey - WIPPNet
Cc: 'Offner, Steve - NWP' (Steve.Offner@wipp.ws)
Subject: Emplacement material weights

Steve,
We are writing an analysis "Estimation of Cellulose, Plastic, and Rubber Emplacement Materials in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP)", which we do every recertification year for PA. I would like to see if the weights that we have been using for the different packaging configuration (i.e. 7-pack, 3-pack, SWB, etc.) for plastic and cellulose emplacement materials are equivalent to the weights that you use in the WDS and if not I would like our analysis to provide the most current data (WDS). Could you please have Steve Offner run me that query from the WDS?

Thank you,

Bill McInroy
Inventory Team Lead
LANL-CO, Contractor to the
United States Department of Energy
575-628-0436 (work)
575-706-0948 (cell)

Attachment 3: Emplacement Material Weights from WTS (Jackson 2004)

535553

Bill McInroy

From: Jackson, John [John.Jackson@wipp.ws]
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 9:50 AM
To: McInroy, Bill - Stoller; Strait, Anne; Leigh, Christi - SNL
Subject: FW: Supersack Material Weights

WTS Emplacement Material Weights

This should complete the list.
j

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Guy, John
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 9:53 AM
> To: Jackson, John
> Subject: Supersack Material Weights
>
> John,
> Here are the final two measurements that you requested: Supersack Bag
> - 7.6 lbs. Cardboard Inserts (6) - 7.6 lbs.
> If there is any additional information that you need please let me know.
> John

pA CDL 05/05/04
CDL 05/05/04

~~FD~~

WIPP: 1.4.1.2: ~~PA~~: QA-L: 533999

Information Only

Bill Mcinroy

From: Jackson, John [John.Jackson@wipp.ws]
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2004 1:09 PM
To: McInroy, Bill - Stoller
Cc: Strait, Anne; Leigh, Christi - SNL
Subject: FW: Waste configuration

Bill,
The only items left now are the supersacks and cardboard inserts for each. We should have those this week. Thanks, John

-----Original Message-----
From: Guy, John
Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2004 1:00 PM
To: Jackson, John
Subject: RE: Waste configuration

John,
I will provide the supersack item weights as soon as the supersack supplier makes them available to us. The 7-pack weights are as follows: Reinforcement plate - 17.4 lbs. 7-pack slipsheet - 17.4 lbs. stretch wrap (18 wraps) - 2.2 lbs.

Please contact me at extension 8906 if you have any questions. John

-----Original Message-----
From: Jackson, John
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2004 10:17 AM
To: Guy, John
Subject: FW: Waste configuration

Please help with the weights below.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Mcinroy [mailto:bmcinroy@stoller.com]
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2004 10:12 AM
To: 'Jackson, John'
Subject: RE: Waste configuration

John, thank you for the information you provided. I still have some hole with the weight for the CPR used for operations. If you can assist me in getting this information it would be helpful but if you don't have the information or time please let me know and I will seek other sources. The following information is needed before SNL can determine the influence operation CPR has on the repository in relations to MgO.

1. Supersack
   a. Weight of the woven polypropylene supersack - ____?
   b. Weight of the cardboard stabilizer - _____?
   c. Weight of the slipsheet - 17.4 lbs. (Weights verified by Mark)

2. 7-pack
   a. Weight of the top plastic reinforcing plate - ____?
   b. Weight of the slipsheet - 20.5 lbs. (from drawing you provided but has not been verified by Mark)
   c. Weight of the stretch wrap - ____?

3. 4-pack
   a. Weight of the top plastic reinforcing plate and slipsheet - 32.6 lbs. (Weights verified by Mark)
   b. Weight of the stretch wrap - ____?

Information Only

4. 3-pack
a. Weight of the top plastic reinforcing plate and slipsheet - 32 lbs. (Weights verified by Mark)
b. Weight of the stretch wrap - ____?

5. SWB
a. Weight of the cardboard Slipsheet - 8.2 lbs (I am assuming the cardboard slipsheet that Mark provided are for the SWB and TDOP). (Weights verified by Mark)

6. TDOP
a. Weight of the cardboard Slipsheet - 8.2 lbs (I am assuming the cardboard slipsheet that Mark provided are for the SWB and TDOP. (Weights verified by Mark)

Thanks so much for your help and if you see something I am missing in regards to CPR used in operations please let me know.

Bill McInroy

-----Original Message-----
From: Jackson, John [mailto:John.Jackson@wipp.ws]
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2004 12:03 PM
To: Strait, Anne; McInroy, Bill - Stoller; Leigh, Christi - SNL
Subject: FW: Waste configuration

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dziamski, Mark
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2004 10:40 AM
> To: Jackson, John
> Subject: RE: Waste configuration
>
> John,
>
> Here are the weights as promised.
>
> Cardboard Slipsheets - 8.2 lbs
> Plastic Supersack Slipsheets - 17.4 lbs
> 85 gal 4pack set - 32.6 lbs
> 100 gal 3pack set - 32.0 lbs
>
> Mark
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jackson, John
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2004 8:49 AM
> To: Strait, Anne; Dziamski, Mark
> Cc: McInroy, Bill - Stoller; Leigh, Christi - SNL; Guy, John
> Subject: RE: Waste configuration
>
> They have the 3-pack and 4-pack drawings. Here are the other drawings.
> The weight is not estimated on the drawing so Mark Dziamski or John Guy
> will need to weigh those. It probably wouldn't hurt to get an actual
> weight from the 3-pack and 4-pack sheets since we have those.
>
> Mark/John,
> Let me know when you can weigh the sheets and stretch wrap, I will help in
> anyway needed.

Information Only

>
> Thanks,
> John
> << File: 412F013W1.DWG >> << File: 412F013W2.dwg >> << File:
> 412F025W.DWG >>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Strait, Anne
> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2004 8:41 AM
> To: Dziamski, Mark; Jackson, John
> Cc: McInroy, Bill - Stoller; Leigh, Christi - SNL
> Subject: RE: Waste configuration
>
> Did we supply the weight of the typical 7 pack shrink wrap and
the
> cardboard associated with the MgO to Bill M. ? Also did we provide
him
> with the slip sheet drawings? Let me know. AE Strait
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Strait, Anne
> Sent: Thursday, April 15, 2004 10:29 AM
> To: Dziamski, Mark; Gerle, Mike - WRES; Madl, Larry
-
> WRES; Morrison, Robbie; Kessel, David S. - SNL; Jackson, John; Most,
> Wille - WRES; Leigh, Christi - SNL
> Cc: Jackson, Kim; Garcia, John; Kouba, Steve - WRES;
> Chavez, Rick - WRES; Elmore, Randy; Shoemaker, Paul - SNL; Britain,
> Randy; Bellows, Hardy; McCormick, James
> Subject: RE: Waste configuration task force
meeting
>
>
> Please attend a waste configuration task force
> meeting on Friday, April 16, at 0800 in Room 224 (located towards the
> south end of the SWB second floor). The meeting should last about an
> hour. The schedule has been updated and a new item has been added.
>
> << File: waste configuration schedule.wpd >>
>
> Thank you,
> AE Strait
> Manager, WTS Nuclear Safety
> Ext 8636
>
>
>
>

Information Only

Attachment 4: Weight of Non-Containerized CPR Material from WDS (Standiford 2018)

From: Dan Standiford
To: Callicoat, Johnathon Wayne
Cc: Mcinroy, Bill; Andy Walker
Subject: RE: WDS Data Request
Date: Thursday, September 27, 2018 9:03:22 AM
Attachments: CPR report - LANL-CBAD.xlsx

John,

Attached is a report of non-containerized CPR from the WDS to 9-26-18. The amount of CPR from the last line in red text is equipment which was abandoned in Panel 9 last year, but has not yet been officially "emplaced" in the WDS, by waste handling, as CPR waste.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Dan Standiford, PE
U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad Field Office
TRANSCOM and WDS manager
Acting Transportation Certification manager
NTP Operations Division
PO Box 3090
Carlsbad, NM 88221-3090
575-234-7627 Office
575-725-8203 Cell

From: Callicoat, Johnathon Wayne [mailto:jcallicoat@lanl.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 7:50 AM
To: Dan Standiford <Dan.Standiford@cbfo.doe.gov>
Subject: RE: WDS Data Request

Thanks Dan.

John W. Callicoat

From: Dan Standiford [mailto:Dan.Standiford@cbfo.doe.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 7:37 AM
To: Callicoat, Johnathon Wayne
Cc: Mcinroy, Bill
Subject: RE: WDS Data Request

Good morning John,

I sent in a request for your WDS data query this morning, I will forward it to you when it is complete. It should be ready by 10/03/18.

Dan Standiford, PE
U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad Field Office
TRANSCOM and WDS manager
Acting Transportation Certification manager
NTP Operations Division
PO Box 3090
Carlsbad, NM 88221-3090
575-234-7627 Office
575-725-8203 Cell

From: Callicoat, Johnathon Wayne [mailto:jcallicoat@lanl.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 8:36 AM
To: Dan Standiford <Dan.Standiford@cbfo.doe.gov>
Cc: Mcinroy, Bill <wmcinroy@lanl.gov>
Subject: WDS Data Request

Dan,

I work for the Inventory Team at LANL-CO and am currently working on an analysis that estimates the total cellulose, plastic, and rubber emplacement and operational materials that will ultimately be disposed in the WIPP. Data from this analysis will be used in the performance assessment inventory report for the CRA-2019 performance assessment calculations. I am requesting that a query be run in the WDS that provides the total mass (in kilograms) each of cellulose, plastic, and rubber for non-containerized material (e.g. pigs, equipment, etc.) that was in the WIPP as of 12/31/2017. If possible, I would like to have this information by COB 10/3/2018.

Thank you,

John W. Callicoat
TRU Waste Inventory Team
Los Alamos National Laboratory - Carlsbad Operations
Contractor to the United States Department of Energy
115 N. Main Street
Carlsbad, NM 88220
Work: 575.885.8230
Cell: 575.200.6054
jcallicoat@lanl.gov

CPR Contributions of Non-Containerized Material Emplaced at WIPP:

Panel	Room	Waste Stream	Item Count	Cellulosics (kg)	Plastic (kg)	Rubber (kg)	Total CPR (kg)
5	7	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
5	6	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
5	5	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
5	4	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
5	3	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
5	2	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
5	1	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
6	7	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
6	6	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
6	5	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
6	4	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
6	3	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
6	2	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
6	1	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
7	7	WI-PINKPIG	6	3.00	21.00		**24.00**
7	6	WI-EQUIP1	10		634.00	2,287.00	**2,921.00**
		WI-PANEL9-CPR	10		3,940.00	2,499.00	**6,439.00**
		Totals:	**110**	**45.00**	**4,889.00**	**4,786.00**	**9,720.00**